arXiv:cond-mat/0008291v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 19 Aug 2000

Density functional formalism in the canonical ensemble

J.A. Hernando*
Dept. of Phys., Comisión Nacional de Energía Atómica,
Av. del Libertador 8250, 1429 Buenos Aires, Argentina

L. Blum**
Dept. of Phys., POB 23343, Univ. of Puerto Rico,
Rio Piedras, PR 00931-3343, USA

(February 1, 2008)

Density functional theory, when applied to systems with $T \neq 0$, is based on the grand canonical extension of the Hohenberg-Kohn-Sham theorem due to Mermin (HKSM theorem). While a straightforward canonical ensemble generalization fails, work in nanopore systems could certainly benefit from such extension. We show that, if the asymptotic behaviour of the canonical distribution functions is taken into account, the HKSM theorem can be extended to the canonical ensemble. We generate N-modified correlation and distribution functions hierarchies and prove that, if they are employed, either a modified external field or the density profiles can be indistinctly used as independent variables. We also write down the N-modified free energy functional and prove that its minimum is reached when the equilibrium values of the new hierarchy are used. This completes the extension of the HKSM theorem.

05.20.-y, 64.10.+h,05.70.-a

Density functional theory (DFT) is, undoubtedly, one of the more reliable and established tools in condensed matter theory. It has successfully been used in a great variety of classical systems [1] as, e.g., uniform and non uniform systems in simple [2,3] and general [4] fluids, confined fluids [5,6], melting and freezing [7], interfaces [8], etc. as well as in the calculation of electronic properties in all sort of systems [9]. This impressive amount of work directly descends from the pioneering work of Hohenberg, Kohn and Sham [10] and its extension to non-zero temperature for systems described in the grand canonical ensemble (GCE) by Mermin [11]. Roughly speaking, the Hohenberg-Kohn-Sham-Mermin (HKSM) theorem states that, either the external potential or the density profile can indistinctly be used as independent variables and that the thermodynamic grand potential reaches its minimum value when the equilibrium density profile is used. Therefore, it is in the foundation of all sort of variational principles. The failure to implement a straightforward canonical ensemble (CE) extension is already well known, referred to in [6], and can be traced back to the fixed N constraint (see eq. (8)). As the GCE is an extension of the CE, an obvious question is where the need for such an implementation exists. In relation to that question, we can mention that experiments done in porous glasses (with a mean pore radius $r \approx 20-30\AA$) [12], simulations [13] and DFT studies [5,6] show the interest of having a mesoscopic DFT in the CE in order to study the statistical mechanics of finite closed systems like fluids in spherical pores [6]. In this letter we consider a general mixture of p components (labeled by greek indices) with N_α particles in a box of volume V and under the influence of one body, species dependent, external potentials $V_\alpha^{(1)}(\mathbf{x})$. Following Ramshaw's work [14] we will show how, by stripping the canonical correlation functions off of its asymptotic behaviour, an N-modified set of distribution and correlation function hierarchies (which we also show can be defined by functional derivatives of the canonical partition function Q_N with respect to a modified external potential) is introduced and it paves the way to prove the HKSM theorem in the CE. More specifically, we do that by introducing an N-modified free energy which is minimized by the N-modified density profiles. Here we present the essential results with the pair correlation function case as a prototype and a complete derivation will be published in a paper in preparation.

As usual [15], the distribution functions and full distribution functions, the truncated correlation functions associated to them and direct correlation functions hierarchies ($n_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(s)}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$, $\hat{n}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(s)}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$, $t_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(s)}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$, $\hat{t}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(s)}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$, ($c_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(s)}(\{\mathbf{x}\})$ respectively) can be defined by functional derivatives as

$$n_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(s)}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{s} e^{\Phi_{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)}}{Q_{\mathbf{N}}} \frac{\delta^s Q_{\mathbf{N}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{s} \delta e^{\Phi_{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)}} \tag{1}$$

$$\hat{n}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(s)}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \frac{1}{Q_{\mathbf{N}}} \frac{\delta^s Q_{\mathbf{N}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{s} \delta \Phi_{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)} \tag{2}$$

$$t^{(s)}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \prod_{k=1}^{s} e^{\Phi_{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)} \frac{\delta^s \ln Q_{\mathbf{N}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{s} \delta e^{\Phi_{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)}} \tag{3}$$

$$\hat{t}^{(s)}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \frac{\delta^s \ln Q_{\mathbf{N}}}{\prod_{k=1}^{s} \delta \Phi_{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)} = \frac{\delta \hat{t}^{(s-1)}_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{s-1}}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_s\})}{\delta \Phi_{\alpha s}(\mathbf{x}_s)} \tag{4}$$

$$c^{(s)}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = \beta \frac{\delta^s F^{exc}}{\prod_{k=1}^{s} \delta n^{(1)}_{\alpha_k}(\mathbf{x}_k)} = \frac{\delta c^{(s-1)}_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{s-1}}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_s\})}{\delta n^{(1)}_{\alpha s}(\mathbf{x}_s)} \tag{5}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_s)$, $\{\mathbf{x}\} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_s)$ are the species and coordinate sets, $\beta = (kT)^{-1}$, F^{exc} is the excess free energy and $\Phi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = -\beta V^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$. The GCE definition is formally the same with the grand partition function Ξ replacing Q_N. We will consider that the full distribution and correlation functions $\hat{n}^{(s)}, \hat{t}^{(s)}$ are the fundamental quantities (together with $c^{(s)}$) in the sense that they not only pinpoint the problems in the CE, is through these functiones that we solve them and no new information can be gotten from the other functions. It is obvious that $n^{(1)}_\alpha = \hat{n}^{(1)}_\alpha = t^{(1)}_\alpha = \hat{t}^{(1)}_\alpha$ and that

$$\delta n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_\lambda \int \hat{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \delta\Phi_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \tag{6}$$

The link with the more usual notation is $\hat{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = t^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})$ where $t^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) n^{(1)}_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) h^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ and, in this case, the independent variables are the external fields. If the density profiles $\{n^{(1)}_\alpha\}$ can also be used as independent variables, then an inverse kernel $\hat{t}^{(2)-1}_{\eta\alpha}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) = \delta\Phi_\eta(\mathbf{z})/\delta n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ must exist such that

$$\sum_\alpha \int \hat{t}^{(2)-1}_{\eta\alpha}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) \hat{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{x} = \delta_{\eta\lambda}\delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}) \tag{7}$$

Using the definition of the pair distribution function in both ensembles [15] , it can be obtained that

$$\int \hat{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{CE} \\ n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})\delta_{\alpha\lambda} + n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) \frac{\partial \ln\left[\Xi n^{(1)}_\alpha / z_\alpha\right]}{\partial \ln z_\lambda} - \frac{\partial \ln \Xi}{\partial \ln z_\alpha} \frac{\partial \ln \Xi}{\partial \ln z_\lambda} & \text{GCE} \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

Eqs. (7) and (8) are obviously incompatible in the CE while there is no incompatibility in the GCE; because of the fluctuations, the nucleus $\hat{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ is invertible. Therefore, the Ornstein-Zernike (OZ) equation (obtained when $c^{(2)}_{\eta\alpha}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$ is defined by $\hat{t}^{(2)-1}_{\eta\alpha}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) = -c^{(2)}_{\eta\alpha}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}) + \delta_{\eta\alpha}\delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{x})/n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$) is, mathematically speaking, undefined in the CE and, within that framework, no rigorous DFT is possible. In other words, any variational principle formulated in the CE with the canonical functions hierarchies and having the density profiles as independent variables does not have a mathematically sound foundation. Specifically, this is the problem alluded to in [6].

The way out we present in this letter is through stripping the correlation functions $\hat{t}^{(s)}$ off of its asymptotic behaviour. This stripping defines the new, N-modified distribution and correlation functions, $\tilde{n}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}^{(s)}$ respectively. We then show that $\tilde{t}^{(s)}$ is invertible and, from $\tilde{t}^{(s)-1}$ we define N-modified direct correlation functions $\tilde{c}^{(s)}$, write down OZ equations that link $\tilde{t}^{(s)}$ and $\tilde{c}^{(s)}$ and, by proving that they can be also obtained by functional diferentiation of the CE partition function with respect to modified external fields $\tilde{\Phi}_\lambda$, we conclude that $\tilde{n}^{(s)}, \tilde{t}^{(s)}, \tilde{c}^{(s)}$ constitute a new hierarchical set of distribution and correlation functions in the CE without the mathematical restrictions that the more conventional ones have. Lastly, we write down a N-modified free energy in terms of these N-modified functions and prove that it reaches its minimum value when the equilibrium N-modified density profiles $\{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_\alpha\}$ are used. This completes the proof of the extension of the HKSM theorem to the CE. Here we will sketch the main steps using the pair correlations case as a prototype and write down the general results. The complete derivation will be reported in a paper in preparation.

The irreducible two bodies behaviour is exclusively contained in $h^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ and we will analyze its asymptotic behaviour. The conditional probability of finding an α particle in $\mathbf{x}$ when a λ particle is fixed in $\mathbf{y}$ can be written, when $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ are very far away, as

$$n_{\alpha\lambda;\infty}(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) = n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\partial n^{(1)}_\alpha(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \rho_\alpha} \Delta\phi_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) \tag{9}$$

Here $\Delta\phi_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$ is an unknown proportionality factor (obviously $\{\lambda, \mathbf{y}\}$ dependent); it is not the difference in density due to anchoring a λ particle. Notice also that the derivative is with respect to ρ_α, not ρ_λ. The reasons are not only the particle exchange symmetry, they are also related to the fact that one of our goals is to obtain a full hierarchy of N-modified functions (otherwise, in eq. (16) a modified external field could not be defined). Therefore, the asymptotic behaviour of $h^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ can be written in a fully symmetrical way

$$h^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda;\infty}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}\frac{\partial \ln n^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \rho_{\alpha}}\frac{\partial \ln n^{(1)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \rho_{\lambda}} \tag{10}$$

$\Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}$ is an as yet unknown constant. Next, we define an N-modified correlation function $\tilde{h}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ as the correlation function stripped off of its asymptotic behaviour. More precisely,

$$h^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{h}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) + \Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}\frac{\partial \ln n^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \rho_{\alpha}}\frac{\partial \ln n^{(1)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \rho_{\lambda}} & \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \\ \tilde{h}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = -1 & \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

It must be noticed that, as the stripping is done through a separation of variables the irreducible two bodies component is not affected, only the long range behaviour (due to the fixed N constraint) is isolated. As a consequence, we want to emphasize that $\tilde{h}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ is the correlation function with a truly irreducible two-bodies behaviour, not $h^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$. Also, the excluded volume effects are not altered and the exchange symmetry imposes that $\Gamma_{\alpha\lambda} = \Gamma_{\lambda\alpha}$.Therefore, we can define an N- modified full truncated correlation function

$$\tilde{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} \hat{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) - \Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}\frac{\partial \ln n^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \rho_{\alpha}}\frac{\partial \ln n^{(1)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \rho_{\lambda}} & \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \\ \hat{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) & \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

and verify that it satisfies

$$\int \tilde{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{x}d\mathbf{y} = -\Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}V^2 \tag{13}$$

This shows that $\tilde{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ is indeed invertible. Also, $\Gamma_{\alpha\lambda}$ can be written as a functional of $\tilde{h}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\lambda} = -\frac{1}{V^2}\int d\mathbf{x}n^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\left[\delta_{\alpha\lambda} + \int d\mathbf{y}n^{(1)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})\tilde{h}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\right] \tag{14}$$

i.e., a sort of averaged compressibility. This recovers the classical Lebowitz-Percus results [16] in a form suitable for our purposes. Replacing eq. (12) in (6) and using the chemical potential definition, it can be obtained that

$$\delta n^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\lambda}\int \tilde{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\delta\tilde{\Phi}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})d\mathbf{y} \tag{15}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{\lambda}$ is the modified external field

$$\delta\tilde{\Phi}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y}) = \delta\Phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y}) + \beta\delta\mu_{\lambda} \tag{16}$$

If we write that, by definition,

$$\tilde{t}^{(2)-1}_{\eta\alpha}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\delta\tilde{\Phi}_{\eta}(\mathbf{z})}{\delta\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})} = -\tilde{c}^{(2)}_{\eta\alpha}(\mathbf{z},\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\delta_{\alpha\eta}\delta(\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{x})}{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})} \tag{17}$$

the N-modified functions $\tilde{t}^{(2)-1}_{\eta\alpha}, \tilde{t}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ obey eq. (7) and, therefore, $\tilde{h}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}$ and $\tilde{c}^{(2)}_{\eta\alpha}$ are linked by an OZ equation with $\tilde{c}^{(2)}_{\eta\alpha}$ playing the role of an N-modified direct correlation function.

Now we define an N-modified intrinsic free energy functional by

$$\beta\tilde{F}\left[\{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}\}\right] = \langle\beta(K + U - \ln P_N)\rangle \tag{18}$$

where K, U, P_N are the kinetic, potential energy and N-bodies probability distribution function in the CE respectively with the average being a CE one and minimize the functional

$$\beta\tilde{\Omega}\left[\{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}\}\right] = -\sum_{\alpha}\int \tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})\tilde{\Phi}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} + \beta\tilde{F}\left[\{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}\}\right] \tag{19}$$

It is easy to prove, using the Gibbs-Bogoliubov inequality [15], that the minimum is reached when the equilibrium profiles $\{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}\}$ are used. This completes the proof of the extension of the HKSM theorem to the CE. It can also be seen that the N-modified direct correlation function hierarchy $\{\tilde{c}^(s)\}$ can be started by

$$\tilde{c}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \beta\frac{\delta\tilde{F}^{exc}\left[\{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}\}\right]}{\delta\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}$$

And in particular, we have

$$\tilde{c}^{(2)}_{\alpha\lambda}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\delta\tilde{c}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})} = \frac{\delta_{\alpha\lambda}\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}{\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})} - \frac{\delta\tilde{\Phi}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x})}{\delta\tilde{n}^{(1)}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{y})}$$

Therefore, we have proved that all of our N-modified functions can be generated by functional differentiation with the formal structure of eqs. (2), (4) and (5).

Summarizing, we have proved that the HKSM theorem of the GCE can be extended to the CE and, in the process of doing that, we have defined a new set of N-modified distribution and correlation functions that can be built using the same rules and formal structure of the conventional canonical functions with the proviso that the set of modified external fields must be used. A paper with a more detailed derivation together with some applications is in preparation.

We acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation through grants CHE-95-13558, Epscor OSR-94-52893, by the DOE-EPSCoR grant DE-FCO2-91ER75674 and CONICET grant PIP 0859/98.

[*] e-mail: hernando@cnea.gov.ar

[**] e-mail: lblum@upracd.upr.clu.edu

[1] See, e.g., the reviews by A.D.J. Haymet, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **38**, 89 (1987); R. Evans in Fundamentals of Inhomogeneous Fluids, edited by D. Henderson (M. Dekker, New York, 1992); H. Löwen, Phys. Rep. **237**, 249 (1994); M.B. Sweatman, Mol. Phys. **98**, 573 (2000).

[2] Y. Rosenfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 980 (1989); Mol. Phys. **94**, 929 (1998) and references therein.

[3] E. Kierlik and M.L. Rosinberg, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 3382 (1990); Phys. Rev A **44**, 5025 (1991).

[4] A. Huerta, O. Pizio and S. Sokolowski, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 4286 (2000); C.J. Segura, E.V. Vakarin, W.G. Chapman and M.F. Holovko, J. Chem. Phys. **108**, 4837 (1998); S.Klapp and F. Fortsmann, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 3183 (1999).

[5] P. Bryk, A. Patrykiejew, J. Reszko-Zygmunt, W. Rzysko and S. Sokolowski, Mol. Phys. **96**, 1509 (1999); T. Yoon and S. Kim, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 4541 (1998).

[6] A. Gonzalez, J.A. White, F.L. Roman, S. Velasco and R. Evans, Phys. Rev. lett. **79**, 2466 (1997); A. Gonzalez, J.A. White, F.L. Roman and R. Evans, J. Chem. Phys. **109**, 3637 (1998).

[7] P. Tarazona, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 694 (2000); S.H.L. Klapp and G.N. Patey, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 10949 (2000).

[8] H. Xu and M. Baus, Phys. Rev. E **61**, 3249 (2000); T. Wadewitz and J. Winkelmann, J. Chem. Phys. **113**, 2447 (2000).

[9] See, e.g., the reviews by R.G. Parr and W. Yang Density functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989); R.G. Parr and W. Yang, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **46**, 710 (1995); W. Kohn, A.D. Becke and R.G. Parr, J. Phys. Chem. **100**, 12974 (1996).

[10] P. Hohenberg and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. **136**, B864 (1964); W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, Phys. rev. **140**, A1133 (1965).

[11] N.D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. **137**, A1441 (1965).

[12] K.M. Unruh, T.E. Huber and C.A. Huber, Phys. Rev. B**48**, 9021 (1993); J.A. Duffy, N.J. Wilkinson, H.M. Fretwell, M.A. Alam and R. Evans, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **7**, L713 (1995).

[13] H. Dominguez, M.A. Allen and R. Evans, Mol. Phys. **96**, 209 (1999); A. Neimark, P.I. Rovitovitch and A. Vishnyakov, Phys. Rev. E **62**, R1493 (2000).

[14] J. Ramshaw, Mol. Phys. **41**, 219 (1980).

[15] J.P. Hansen and I.R. McDonald, Theory of Simple Liquids (2nd. edition, Academic Press, N. York, 1990); R. Evans, Adv. Phys. **28**, 143 (1979).

[16] J.L. Lebowitz and J.K. Percus, Phys. Rev. **122**, 1675 (1961).